

Aplicação: funções harmônicas esféricas

Começamos considerando a equação de Laplace,

$$\nabla^2 \Psi(\mathbf{r}) = 0.$$

Aí nós escrevemos o operador laplaciano assim:

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{\mathbf{L}^2}{\hbar^2 r^2},$$

onde \mathbf{L} é o operador momentum angular, definido como

$$\mathbf{L} \equiv \frac{\hbar}{i} \mathbf{r} \times \nabla.$$

Aqui,

$$\hbar \equiv \frac{h}{2\pi},$$

onde h é a constante de Planck. Em mecânica clássica, o momentum angular é dado por

$$\mathbf{L} \equiv \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p},$$

onde \mathbf{p} é o momentum linear de uma partícula. Em mecânica quântica, o momentum se torna um operador dado por

$$\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla$$

e aí a definição clássica de momentum angular se torna aquela que escrevemos acima, envolvendo o operador nabla.

1 Exercício: Usando a definição acima do operador \mathbf{L} mostre as relações de comutação de suas componentes:

$$\begin{aligned} [L_j, L_k] &\equiv L_j L_k - L_k L_j \\ &= i\hbar \varepsilon_{j,k,l} L_l, \end{aligned}$$

onde os índices repetidos no mesmo termo são somados de 1 a 3.

2 Exercício: Defina os operadores L_{\pm} , isto é,

$$L_{\pm} \equiv L_x \pm iL_y.$$

Demonstre que

$$[L_z, L_{\pm}] = \pm \hbar L_{\pm}$$

e

$$[\mathbf{L}^2, L_{\pm}] = 0.$$

Também demonstre que

$$[\mathbf{L}^2, L_k] = 0,$$

para $k = 1, 2, 3$. Note que aqui os índices 1, 2 e 3 sempre correspondem, respectivamente, às componentes x , y e z . Como exercício, verifique todas as relações de comutação acima outra vez, só para seu treinamento.

Em coordenadas esféricas, escrevemos o operador \mathbf{L}^2 a partir da definição

$$\mathbf{L} \equiv \frac{\hbar}{i} \mathbf{r} \times \nabla,$$

onde usamos

$$\mathbf{r} = r \hat{\mathbf{r}}$$

e

$$\nabla = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}.$$

Então,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L} &= \frac{\hbar}{i} r \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \left(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{i} \left(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{i} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right). \end{aligned}$$

E note que,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L} &= \frac{\hbar}{i} \left(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{i} \left(-\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\ &= i\hbar \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\ &= i\hbar \left(r \nabla - r \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right), \end{aligned}$$

isto é,

$$\nabla = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{i\hbar r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}.$$

Logo, podemos deduzir a expressão acima para o laplaciano em termos do operador momento angular assim:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \nabla &= \left(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{i\hbar r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L} \right) \cdot \left(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{i\hbar r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L} \right) \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \cdot \left(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{i\hbar r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L} \right) + \frac{1}{i\hbar r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L} \cdot \left(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{i\hbar r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L} \right) \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{i\hbar r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{i\hbar r} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{\hbar^2 r^2} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{i\hbar r} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{\hbar^2 r^2} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}). \end{aligned}$$

Mas,

$$(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = i\hbar \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\varphi} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin \theta \cos \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin \theta \sin \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cos \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

onde usamos

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin \theta \cos \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin \theta \sin \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cos \theta.$$

Sendo assim,

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} &= i\hbar \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin \theta \cos \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin \theta \sin \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cos \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \\ &\quad + i\hbar \hat{\varphi} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin \theta \cos \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin \theta \sin \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cos \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \\ &= i\hbar \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos \theta \cos \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos \theta \sin \varphi - \hat{\mathbf{z}} \sin \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \\ &\quad + i\hbar \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin \theta \cos \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin \theta \sin \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cos \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \\ &\quad + i\hbar \hat{\varphi} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \cdot (-\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin \theta \sin \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin \theta \cos \varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \\ &\quad + i\hbar \hat{\varphi} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin \theta \cos \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin \theta \sin \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cos \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \end{aligned}$$

ou seja,

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} &= i\hbar \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \\ &\quad + i\hbar \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \\ &\quad + i\hbar \hat{\varphi} \frac{\sin \theta}{\sin \theta} \cdot \hat{\varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \\ &\quad + i\hbar \hat{\varphi} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \\ &= 2i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \end{aligned}$$

onde aqui usamos

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos \theta \cos \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos \theta \sin \varphi - \hat{\mathbf{z}} \sin \theta$$

e

$$\hat{\varphi} = -\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos \varphi.$$

Com isso, vemos que

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \nabla &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{i\hbar r} 2i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{\hbar^2 r^2} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{\hbar^2 r^2} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}). \end{aligned}$$

Mas,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) &= \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^2 \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} r^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \\ &= \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}\end{aligned}$$

e, então,

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{1}{\hbar^2 r^2} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}).$$

Resta-nos agora calcular $(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L})$. Para isso, como vimos acima, notamos que

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L} = i\hbar \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right),$$

dando

$$(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) = -\hbar^2 \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \cdot \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right).$$

Mas aí notamos que

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} &= -\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin \theta \cos \varphi - \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin \theta \sin \varphi - \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cos \theta \\ &= -\hat{\mathbf{r}}\end{aligned}$$

e

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Também notamos que

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} &= -\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos \theta \sin \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos \theta \cos \varphi \\ &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \cos \theta\end{aligned}$$

e

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} &= -\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos \varphi - \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin \varphi \\ &= -\hat{\mathbf{r}} \sin \theta - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \cos \theta.\end{aligned}$$

Usando esses resultados, podemos escrever:

$$(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) = -\hbar^2 \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \cdot \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\hbar^2 \hat{\varphi} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \cdot \left(\hat{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\varphi} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\
= & -\hbar^2 \hat{\theta} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \right) \\
& -\hbar^2 \hat{\theta} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}}{\partial \theta} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} - \hat{\varphi} \frac{\cos \theta}{\text{sen}^2 \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \hat{\varphi} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\
& -\hbar^2 \hat{\varphi} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\theta}}{\partial \varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \\
& -\hbar^2 \hat{\varphi} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}}{\partial \varphi} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \hat{\varphi} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} \right) \\
= & -\hbar^2 \hat{\theta} \cdot \left(-\hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \right) \\
& -\hbar^2 \hat{\varphi} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \cdot \left(\hat{\varphi} \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \\
& -\hbar^2 \hat{\varphi} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \cdot \hat{\varphi} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2},
\end{aligned}$$

isto é,

$$(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) = -\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} - \hbar^2 \frac{\cos \theta}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hbar^2 \frac{1}{\text{sen}^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2}.$$

De forma análoga, podemos calcular também:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{L}^2 &= \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{L} \\
&= -\hbar^2 \left(\hat{\varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hat{\theta} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \cdot \left(\hat{\varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hat{\theta} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\
&= -\hbar^2 \hat{\varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \cdot \left(\hat{\varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hat{\theta} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) + \hbar^2 \hat{\theta} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \cdot \left(\hat{\varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hat{\theta} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\
&= -\hbar^2 \hat{\varphi} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\hat{\varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \hbar^2 \hat{\varphi} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\hat{\theta} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\
&\quad + \hbar^2 \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \hat{\theta} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \left(\hat{\varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) - \hbar^2 \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \hat{\theta} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \left(\hat{\theta} \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\
&= -\hbar^2 \hat{\varphi} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \hat{\varphi} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hbar^2 \hat{\varphi} \cdot \hat{\varphi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \\
&\quad + \hbar^2 \hat{\varphi} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \hat{\theta} \right) \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \hbar^2 \hat{\varphi} \cdot \hat{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\
&\quad + \hbar^2 \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \hat{\theta} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \hat{\varphi} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hbar^2 \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \hat{\theta} \cdot \hat{\varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \\
&\quad - \hbar^2 \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \hat{\theta} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \hat{\theta} \right) \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} - \hbar^2 \frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \hat{\theta} \cdot \hat{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \left(\frac{1}{\text{sen}\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

isto é,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{L}^2 &= -\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \\
&+ \hbar^2 \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \cdot (-\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \\
&+ \hbar^2 \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \cdot \left(-\hat{\mathbf{r}} \sin \theta - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \cos \theta \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \\
&- \hbar^2 \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \cdot (\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \cos \theta) \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} - \hbar^2 \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2},
\end{aligned}$$

ou seja,

$$\mathbf{L}^2 = -\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} - \hbar^2 \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hbar^2 \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2},$$

que é igual a

$$(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) = -\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} - \hbar^2 \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hbar^2 \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2}.$$

Então, como já tínhamos lá em cima,

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{1}{\hbar^2 r^2} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}),$$

por ser

$$(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L}) = \mathbf{L}^2,$$

concluimos que podemos escrever:

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{\mathbf{L}^2}{\hbar^2 r^2}.$$

Com a expressão acima para \mathbf{L}^2 , segue que

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2}.$$

Notemos também que

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) &= \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \\
&= \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}
\end{aligned}$$

e, portanto,

$$\mathbf{L}^2 = -\hbar^2 \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) - \hbar^2 \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2},$$

dando

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2},$$

que é exatamente o laplaciano em coordenadas esféricas. Esta também é outra forma de deduzir o laplaciano em coordenadas esféricas.

Por exemplo, na equação de Schrödinger em coordenadas esféricas, digamos, para o átomo de hidrogênio, seja $\psi(r, \theta, \varphi)$ a função de onda do elétron. Então a equação de Schrödinger no centro de massa do átomo é dada por

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \nabla^2 \psi(r, \theta, \varphi) - \frac{e^2}{r} \psi(r, \theta, \varphi) = E \psi(r, \theta, \varphi).$$

Note que estamos usando o sistema CGS de unidades e que a carga elétrica do próton deve ser igual e de sinal oposto à carga do elétron, isto é, o próton tem carga $e > 0$. A massa reduzida do sistema é escrita μ na equação acima. Assim, pelo método de separação de variáveis, tentemos separar a parte radial da parte angular, escrevendo

$$\psi(r, \theta, \varphi) = R(r) Y(\theta, \varphi).$$

Logo,

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \left[\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{\mathbf{L}^2}{\hbar^2 r^2} \right] \psi(r, \theta, \varphi) - \frac{e^2}{r} \psi(r, \theta, \varphi) = E \psi(r, \theta, \varphi),$$

isto é,

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \psi(r, \theta, \varphi) + \frac{\mathbf{L}^2}{2\mu r^2} \psi(r, \theta, \varphi) - \frac{e^2}{r} \psi(r, \theta, \varphi) = E \psi(r, \theta, \varphi),$$

ou seja,

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{1}{r^2 R(r)} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) R(r) + \frac{1}{Y(\theta, \varphi)} \frac{\mathbf{L}^2}{2\mu r^2} Y(\theta, \varphi) - \frac{e^2}{r} = E,$$

ou ainda,

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{1}{R(r)} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) R(r) + \frac{1}{Y(\theta, \varphi)} \frac{\mathbf{L}^2}{2\mu} Y(\theta, \varphi) - e^2 r = E r^2.$$

Logo,

$$\frac{1}{Y(\theta, \varphi)} \mathbf{L}^2 Y(\theta, \varphi) = 2\mu E r^2 + 2\mu e^2 r + \frac{\hbar^2}{R(r)} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dR(r)}{dr} \right).$$

Introduzindo uma constante de separação, K , obtemos:

$$\frac{1}{Y(\theta, \varphi)} \mathbf{L}^2 Y(\theta, \varphi) = K$$

e

$$2\mu E r^2 + 2\mu e^2 r + \frac{\hbar^2}{R(r)} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dR(r)}{dr} \right) = K.$$

A equação radial nós vamos considerar em outra aula.

Vamos agora usar o operador \mathbf{L}^2 e resolver a equação angular:

$$\mathbf{L}^2 Y(\theta, \varphi) = KY(\theta, \varphi),$$

isto é,

$$\left[-\hbar^2 \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \left(\sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \right) - \hbar^2 \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\varphi^2} \right] Y(\theta, \varphi) = KY(\theta, \varphi).$$

Para isso, vamos fazer outra separação de variáveis:

$$Y(\theta, \varphi) = Q(\theta) \Phi(\varphi).$$

Então, obtemos:

$$\frac{1}{Q(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \left(\sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \right) Q(\theta) + \frac{1}{\Phi(\varphi) \sin^2\theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\varphi^2} \Phi(\varphi) = -\frac{K}{\hbar^2},$$

ou seja,

$$\frac{\sin\theta}{P(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \left(\sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \right) Q(\theta) + \frac{1}{\Phi(\varphi)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\varphi^2} \Phi(\varphi) = -\frac{K}{\hbar^2} \sin^2\theta,$$

ou ainda,

$$\frac{\sin\theta}{Q(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \left(\sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \right) Q(\theta) + \frac{K}{\hbar^2} \sin^2\theta = -\frac{1}{\Phi(\varphi)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\varphi^2} \Phi(\varphi).$$

Logo, introduzindo uma nova constante de separação, C , obtemos:

$$\sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \left(\sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \right) Q(\theta) + \frac{K}{\hbar^2} \sin^2\theta Q(\theta) = CQ(\theta)$$

e

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\varphi^2} \Phi(\varphi) = -C\Phi(\varphi).$$

Mas, obviamente,

$$\Phi(\varphi + 2\pi) = \Phi(\varphi)$$

e, assim, como na equação de Schrödinger as funções de onda não precisam ser reais, segue que as soluções possíveis para a equação para $\Phi(\varphi)$ é dada por

$$\Phi_m(\varphi) = \mathcal{N}_m \exp(im\varphi),$$

onde $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Portanto,

$$C = m^2$$

e equação para $Q(\theta)$ agora fica:

$$\operatorname{sen}\theta \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[\operatorname{sen}\theta \frac{dQ(\theta)}{d\theta} \right] + \frac{K}{\hbar^2} \operatorname{sen}^2\theta Q(\theta) = m^2 Q(\theta).$$

O que acontece se nós mudarmos de variável fazendo

$$x \equiv \cos\theta$$

e reescrevemos a equação acima? O que teremos é algo assim:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\theta} &= \frac{dx}{d\theta} \frac{d}{dx} \\ &= -\operatorname{sen}\theta \frac{d}{dx}. \end{aligned}$$

Seja

$$P(x) \equiv Q(\theta).$$

Então,

$$\operatorname{sen}^2\theta \frac{d}{dx} \left[\operatorname{sen}^2\theta \frac{dP(x)}{dx} \right] + \frac{K}{\hbar^2} \operatorname{sen}^2\theta P(x) = m^2 P(x).$$

Mas,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{sen}^2\theta &= 1 - \cos^2\theta \\ &= 1 - x^2 \end{aligned}$$

e, assim,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[(1-x^2) \frac{dP(x)}{dx} \right] + \frac{K}{\hbar^2} P(x) = \frac{m^2}{1-x^2} P(x),$$

isto é,

$$(1-x^2) \frac{d^2 P(x)}{dx^2} - 2x \frac{dP(x)}{dx} + \frac{K}{\hbar^2} P(x) = \frac{m^2}{1-x^2} P(x),$$

ou seja,

$$(1-x^2) \frac{d^2 P(x)}{dx^2} - 2x \frac{dP(x)}{dx} + \left(\frac{K}{\hbar^2} - \frac{m^2}{1-x^2} \right) P(x) = 0,$$

que é quase a equação associada de Legendre:

$$(1-x^2) \frac{d^2 P_\ell^m(x)}{dx^2} - 2x \frac{dP_\ell^m(x)}{dx} + \left[\ell(\ell+1) - \frac{m^2}{1-x^2} \right] P_\ell^m(x) = 0.$$

Por que, no caso do átomo de hidrogênio, devemos ter

$$K = \hbar^2 \ell(\ell+1),$$

com $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$? Eis a questão! É possível demonstrar isso usando as regras de comutação do exercício 12 acima, o que normalmente é feito nos cursos de mecânica quântica. Aqui, entretanto, vamos usar um argumento menos sofisticado. Consideremos o caso em que $m = 0$. Aí teremos a equação de Legendre. Se nós usarmos o método de introdução à física matemática de soluções por série, veremos que, como para $\theta = 0$ e $\theta = \pi$ a série diverge caso ℓ não seja inteiro, temos que escolher os autovalores com $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ para que nesses polos da esfera a solução seja finita, ou seja, temos que procurar por soluções polinomiais. Isso vem do fato de que a função de onda em mecânica quântica tem sempre que ser quadraticamente integrável para que a soma total de probabilidade seja igual a 1. Um espaço de funções quadraticamente integrável é chamado de espaço L^2 . Logo, nossa resposta fica exatamente que, para a equação radial, temos que escrever:

$$Y_\ell^m(\theta, \varphi) = N_\ell^m P_\ell^m(\cos\theta) \exp(im\varphi),$$

com $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ e $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm\ell$. E, quando usamos a normalização tal que

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin\theta Y_{\ell'}^{m'*}(\theta, \varphi) Y_\ell^m(\theta, \varphi) = \delta_{\ell'\ell} \delta_{m'm},$$

as funções ortonormais $Y_\ell^m(\theta, \varphi)$ são chamadas funções harmônicas esféricas. O resultado da normalização é, portanto,

$$Y_\ell^m(\theta, \varphi) = (-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell+1)(\ell-m)!}{4\pi(\ell+m)!}} P_\ell^m(\cos\theta) \exp(im\varphi).$$

Lembremos que quando separamos as variáveis angulares da radial e depois quando encontramos os autovalores de \mathbf{L}^2 , em suma, ficamos com:

$$\mathbf{L}^2 Y_\ell^m(\theta, \varphi) = \hbar^2 \ell(\ell+1) Y_\ell^m(\theta, \varphi).$$

3 Exercício: Demonstre que

$$L_z = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}$$

e que, portanto,

$$L_z Y_\ell^m(\theta, \varphi) = \hbar m Y_\ell^m(\theta, \varphi).$$